



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/680,839

10/07/2003

Irena Maravic

080465

2991

23696 7590 09/19/2008
QUALCOMM INCORPORATED
5775 MOREHOUSE DR.
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121

EXAMINER

PEREZ, JAMES M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2611

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/19/2008

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com
kascanla@qualcomm.com
nanm@qualcomm.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/680,839	Applicant(s) MARAVIC ET AL.	
	Examiner JAMES M. PEREZ	Art Unit 2611	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 June 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-62 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-62 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 07 October 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/20/2008</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Detailed Action

This Office Action is responsive to the amendment filed on 6/17/2008.

Claims 1-62 are currently pending.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, regarding the claim objections of claim 33 has been fully considered and are persuasive. The claim objections have been withdrawn.
2. Applicant's arguments, regarding the claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph with respect to claims 31-33 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 31-33 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph has been withdrawn.
3. Applicant's arguments regarding 35 U.S.C. 103(a) with respect to claims 1 and 28 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. On pages 17 and 18 the applicant argues that Usner discloses sampling at the rate of innovation of the signal but not at a greater rate.

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would clearly recognize that Unser defines a minimum sampling requirement (Section V, B), and that sampling above the minimum requirements has the benefits of increasing the accuracy of a sampled signal, thus less bit errors in the recovered signal. Said benefits of sampling above the minimum requirements are also well-known and expected in the art.

Further evidence that said benefits of sampling above the minimum requirements are well-known and expected in the art is found in Heminger et al. (US 2002/0054411), paragraph 60, wherein sampling at a rate of 5 times of the minimum requirements (five

Art Unit: 2611

times over-sampling) increases system accuracy as compared to sampling at a rate of 2 times the minimum requirements (paragraph 60).

Therefore in view of KSR, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the known spread spectrum communication sampling method of Affes with the known teachings of Unser in order to yield predictable results and benefits such as minimizing the sampling power and complexity while maintaining or increasing the sampling accuracy of the sampled signal by sampling above the minimum required rate.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-8, 16-18, 20-21, 29, 34-41, 49-51, 53-54, and 61-62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Affes (US 2002/0051433) in view of Unser ("Sampling – 50 Years After Shannon", Proceedings of the IEEE, Vol. 88, No.4: pages 569-587, April 2000).

With regards to claims 1, 29, 34, and 61, Affes teaches a receiver and method for processing a signal sent over a wireless communication channel (paragraphs 14-23), comprising the step of sampling the received signal with a sampling frequency lower

Art Unit: 2611

than the sampling frequency given by the Shannon theorem (paragraph 119) for generating a set of sampled values (fig. 9: output of element 18).

Affes does not explicitly teach the sampling rate is greater than the rate of innovation of said received signal.

Unser teaches the reconstruction of a consistent signal (which yields the same measurements as the original signal) as long as there are as many measurements (samples) as there are degrees of freedom in the signal (Section V, B).

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would recognize that since the rate of innovation is defined by the total degrees of freedom of the wanted signal it would be obvious to sample at a greater than or equal to the rate of innovation in order to reconstruct information of the original signal with minimum error.

Therefore it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the spread spectrum communication sampling method of Affes with the sampling method disclosed by Unser in order to create an improved method and system for sampling and reconstructing the information from the original signal while minimizing errors in the reconstructed information.

Furthermore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would clearly recognize that Unser defines a minimum sampling requirement (Section V, B), and that sampling above the minimum requirements has the benefits of increasing the accuracy of a sampled signal, thus less bit errors in the recovered signal. Therefore in view of KSR, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the known spread spectrum communication sampling method of

Art Unit: 2611

Affes with the known teachings of Unser in order to yield predictable results and benefits such as minimizing the sampling power and complexity while maintaining or increasing the sampling accuracy of the sampled signal.

With regards to claim 62, Affes teaches a mobile station for wireless communication (abstract and paragraph 43), comprising:

at least one antenna (fig. 1: elements 12.1 through 12.M); and
a receiver configured to receive a signal over a wireless communication channel (paragraphs 14-23), and sample the received signal with a sampling frequency lower than the sampling frequency given by the Shannon theorem (paragraph 119) for generating a set of sampled values (fig. 9: output of element 18).

Affes does not explicitly teach the sampling rate is greater than the rate of innovation of said received signal.

Unser teaches the reconstruction of a consistent signal (which yields the same measurements as the original signal) as long as there are as many measurements (samples) as there are degrees of freedom in the signal (Section V, B).

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would recognize that since the rate of innovation is defined by the total degrees of freedom of the wanted signal it would be obvious to sample at a greater than or equal to the rate of innovation in order to reconstruct information of the original signal with minimum error.

Therefore it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the spread spectrum communication sampling method of Affes

Art Unit: 2611

with the sampling method disclosed by Unser in order to create an improved method and system for sampling and reconstructing the information from the original signal while minimizing errors in the reconstructed information.

Furthermore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would clearly recognize that Unser defines a minimum sampling requirement (Section V, B), and that sampling above the minimum requirements has the benefits of increasing the accuracy of a sampled signal, thus less bit errors in the recovered signal. Therefore in view of KSR, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the known spread spectrum communication sampling method of Affes with the known teachings of Unser in order to yield predictable results and benefits such as minimizing the sampling power and complexity while maintaining or increasing the sampling accuracy of the sampled signal.

With regards to claims 2-3 and 35-36, Affes in view of Unser teaches the limitations of claims 1 and 34.

Affes teaches pre-processing of the received signal (fig. 13: element 18).

Affes does explicitly teach the preliminary step of filtering said received signal ($y(t)$) with a filter (f).

Unser teaches pre-filter using a low-pass filter (Section II: fig. 2)

Therefore it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the spread spectrum communication sampling method of Affes with the sampling method disclosed by Unser in order to create an improved method

Art Unit: 2611

and system for sampling and reconstructing the information from the original signal while minimizing errors in the reconstructed information.

With regards to claims 4 and 37, Affes in view of Unser teaches the limitations of claim 3 and 36.

Affes teaches pre-processing of the received signal (fig. 13: element 18).

Affes does explicitly teach said filter (f) is a sinc filter.

Unser teaches the use of a sinc filter (Section II: fig. 2).

Therefore it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the spread spectrum communication sampling method of Affes with the sampling method disclosed by Unser in order to create an improved method and system for sampling and reconstructing the information from the original signal while minimizing errors in the reconstructed information.

With regards to claims 5 and 38, Affes in view of Unser teaches the limitations of claims 3 and 36.

Affes teaches pre-processing of the received signal (fig. 13: element 18).

Affes does explicitly teach said filter (f) is a Gaussian filter.

Unser teaches the use of B-splines with a Gaussian-like response as the n degree increases (Section III: fig. 3). One of ordinary skill in the art would clearly recognize that Gaussian filters have fast decay which would allow for more accurate signal filtering while minimizing computational burden in a digital system. Therefore it

Art Unit: 2611

would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to use a Gaussian filter to pre-filter the input signal in order to for more accurate signal filtering while minimizing computational burden in a digital system.

With regards to claims 6 and 39, Affes in view of Unser teaches the limitations of claims 1 and 38.

Affes teaches said wireless communication channel comprises: a multipath fading transmission channel (paragraph 116).

With regards to claims 7 and 40, Affes in view of Unser teaches the limitations of claims 1 and 34.

Affes teaches said wireless communication channel comprises: a CDMA system (paragraph 116-117).

With regards to claims 8 and 41, Affes in view of Unser teaches the limitations of claims 1 and 34.

Affes teaches sampling of the received signal (paragraph 119).

Affes does not explicitly teach said sampling frequency ($1/T_s$) is lower than the chip rate ($1/T_c$) of said received signal ($y(t)$), but greater than its information rate (K/T_b).

Unser teaches the reconstruction of a consistent signal (which yields the same measurements as the original signal) as long as there are as many measurements (samples) as there are degrees of freedom in the signal (Section V, B). Note that the

Art Unit: 2611

rate of innovation is defined by the total degrees of freedom per unit of time which is carried by the signal.

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would clearly recognize that in the case where the degrees of freedom rate of the signal is lower than the chip rate of the signal, it would be obvious to sample the signal at a rate (frequency) between chip rate and the degrees of freedom rate, since lowering (minimizing) the sampling rate of the received signal lessens the computational burden and complexity of the receiver, and sampling at a rate over the degrees of freedom rate allows for reconstruction of the signal information in a manner which minimizes signal information error.

Therefore it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the spread spectrum communication sampling method of Affes with the sampling method based on the degrees of freedom as disclosed by Unser in order to create an improved sampling method which minimize the computational burden and complexity of the receiver, while minimize error in the reconstructed information of the received signal.

With regards to claims 16 and 49, Affes in view of Unser teaches the limitations of claims 1 and 34.

Affes further teaches the method wherein said sent signal includes a plurality of symbols (figs. 1-2: elements 10, and 13-16) each encoded with said user specific coding sequence (figs. 1-2: elements 10, and 13-16) and transmitted by said users (figs. 1-2: elements 10, and 13-16), said method further comprising the steps of

Art Unit: 2611

running a multiuser detection scheme (fig. 16: output of element 18: to receiver for other desired users: note that the signal processing for each user is repeated) using known delays (paragraphs 178-186) and amplitude attenuations (paragraph 114) induced by said communication signal on said sent signal (figs. 1-2, 5, and 9: signal $X(t)$) and using said set of sampled values (fig. 16: output of element 18) and for estimating the value of the symbol (fig. 16: elements 29: paragraph 21) sent by each said user (fig. 16: output of element 18: to receiver for other desired users: note that the signal processing for each user is repeated).

With regards to claims 17 and 50, Affes in view of Unser teaches the limitations of claims 16 and 49.

Affes further teaches said multiuser detection scheme (fig. 16: output of element 18: to receiver for other desired users: note that the signal processing for each user is repeated) is a decorrelating detection scheme (paragraph 7).

With regards to claims 20 and 53, Affes in view of Unser teaches the limitations of claims 1 and 34.

Affes teaches said sent signal includes a plurality of symbols (fig. 2: elements b.sub.n and 13: paragraphs 302-306) each encoded with a user specific coding sequence ($s_k(t)$) (paragraphs 302-306) being chosen, method further comprising the steps of:

sampling the received signal ($y(t)$) with a sampling frequency (f_s) lower than the

Art Unit: 2611

sampling frequency given by the Shannon theorem (paragraph 119) for generating a set of sampled values (fig. 9: output of element 18).

filtering said set of sampled values ($y(nT_s)$) with a bank of matched filters (fig. 16: element group 19: paragraph 119), each filter being matched to said user specific coding sequence (fig. 16: element group 19: despreader) filtered, for estimating the value of the symbol (b_k) sent by each said user (fig. 16: elements 19, 42, 29-30).

Affes does not teach that when lowpass filtered, the signal is orthogonal to any other user's specific coding sequence ($s_k(t)$) used in said communication channel; the sampling rate is greater than the rate of innovation (ρ) of said received signal ($y(t)$); and said match filter uses a lowpass filter.

Unser teaches that when lowpass filtered, the signal is orthogonal to any other user's specific coding sequence ($s_k(t)$) used in said communication channel (Section II and fig. 2);

said match filter uses a lowpass filter (Section II and fig. 2); and

the reconstruction of a consistent signal (which yields the same measurements as the original signal) as long as there are as many measurements (samples) as there are degrees of freedom in the signal (Section V, B). One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would recognize that since the rate of innovation is defined by the total degrees of freedom of the wanted signal it would be obvious to sample at a greater than or equal to the rate of innovation in order to reconstruct information of the original signal with minimum error. Therefore it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the spread spectrum communication

Art Unit: 2611

sampling method of Affes with the sampling method disclosed by Unser in order to create an improved method and system for sampling and reconstructing the information from the original signal while minimizing errors in the reconstructed information.

With regards to claims 21 and 54, Affes in view of Unser teaches the limitations of claims 1 and 34.

Affes teaches said communication channel comprises an array of antennas (fig. 1: antennas 1 through M).

With regards to claims 18 and 51, Affes in view of Unser in further view of Agee teaches the limitations of claims 16 and 49.

Affes further teaches said multiuser detection scheme (fig. 16: output of element 18: to receiver for other desired users: note that the signal processing for each user is repeated) is a minimum mean-square error detection scheme (paragraph 8).

6. Claims 9-15, 19, 22-25, 30, 42-48, 52, and 55-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Affes (US 2002/0051433) in view of Unser ("Sampling – 50 Years After Shannon", Proceedings of the IEEE, Vol. 88, No.4: pages 569-587, April 2000.) as applied to claims 1, 21, 30, 34, and 54, and further in view of Agee (US 2003/0123384).

Art Unit: 2611

With regards to claims 9 and 42, Affes in view of Unser teaches the limitations of claims 1 and 34.

Affes teaches said sent signal includes a plurality of training sequences (paragraphs 302-306) each encoded with a user specific coding sequence ($sk(t)$) (paragraphs 302-306) and transmitted by said users (k) (paragraphs 302-306), said method further comprising the steps of:

retrieving the delays (paragraphs 178-186) and the amplitude attenuations (paragraph 114) induced by said communication channel on said sent signal (figs. 1-2, 5, and 9: signal $X(t)$), corresponding to said received signal ($y(t)$) and corresponding to each of said user specific coding sequence (fig. 5: elements 18, 19 and 20);

and the use of form of Multi-Carrier CDMA (paragraph 435: MC-CDMA).

Affes does not explicitly teach determining the a set of spectral values ($Y[m]$) corresponding to said received signal ($y(t)$) from said set of sampled values ($y(nT_s)$), recovering spectral values ($Sk[m]$) corresponding to each of said user specific coding sequence ($sk(t)$), wherein the determined amplitude and delays were determined from spectral values of the signal and spectral values of the user specific coding sequence.

Agee teaches the use of Fast Fourier transform (FFT) before the despreading unit (figs. 8-9: element 236, 242, 266, and 272) computing a set of spectral values ($Y[m]$) corresponding to said received signal ($y(t)$) from said set of sampled values (fig. 7B and 8-9: ADC output, and front end);

recovering spectral values ($Sk[m]$) corresponding to each of said user specific coding sequence (figs. 8-9: elements 242 and 272).

Therefore it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the CDMA-OFDM communication system of Affes with the CDMA-OFDM system of Agee in order to create an improved system and method with lower complexity by using simple equalization of linear channel distortion (paragraph 30).

With regards to claims 10 and 43, Affes in view of Unser in further view of Agee teaches the limitations of claims 9 and 42.

Affes teaches retrieving said delays and said amplitude attenuations includes solving a series of one-dimensional estimation problems (paragraphs 55 and 230: wherein receiver only has one antenna), the size of each said one-dimensional estimation problem being equal to the number of said sampled values generated during one symbol duration (fig. 16: element 29: paragraph 21).

With regards to claims 11 and 44, Affes in view of Unser in further view of Agee teaches the limitations of claims 10 and 43.

Affes further teaches said series of one-dimensional equation systems (figs. 16 and 44: elements 59, 43, 47, 25, and 29-32) is derived from said received signal (fig. 44: element 18), each of said user specific coding sequence (fig. 44: element 19) and the value of the bits of said training sequences (fig. 44: elements 29-35).

Affes does not explicitly teach the spectral value of the received signal and said user specific coding.

Art Unit: 2611

Agee teaches the use of Fast Fourier transform (FFT) before the dispreading unit (figs. 8-9: element 236, 242, 266, and 272) computing a set of spectral values ($Y[m]$) corresponding to said received signal ($y(t)$) from said set of sampled values (fig. 7B and 8-9: ADC output, and front end);

recovering spectral values ($Sk[m]$) corresponding to each of said user specific coding sequence (figs. 8-9: elements 242 and 272).

Therefore it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the CDMA-OFDM communication system of Affes with the CDMA-OFDM system of Agee in order to create an improved system and method with lower complexity by using simple equalization of linear channel distortion (paragraph 30).

With regards to claims 12 and 45, Affes in view of Unser in further view of Agee teaches the limitations of claims 11 and 44.

Affes further teaches processing a second sent signal (figs. 1 and 16: element group 10, 14, and output to other receiver for other desired users) including a plurality of symbols (fig. 1 and 2: elements 13-16) each encoded with said user specific coding sequence ($sk(t)$) and transmitted by said users (k), sampling said second sent signal ($y(t)$) with a sampling frequency lower than the sampling frequency given by the Shannon theorem (paragraph 119).

Affes does not explicitly teach the sampling rate is greater than the rate of innovation (ρ) of said received signal ($y(t)$).

Art Unit: 2611

Unser teaches the reconstruction of a consistent signal (which yields the same measurements as the original signal) as long as there are as many measurements (samples) as there are degrees of freedom in the signal (Section V, B). One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would recognize that since the rate of innovation is defined by the total degrees of freedom of the wanted signal it would be obvious to sample at a greater than or equal to the rate of innovation in order to reconstruct information of the original signal with minimum error. Therefore it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the spread spectrum communication sampling method of Affes with the sampling method disclosed by Unser in order to create an improved method and system for sampling and reconstructing the information from the original signal while minimizing errors in the reconstructed information.

With regards to claims 13 and 46, Affes in view of Unser in further view of Agee teaches the limitations of claims 12 and 45.

Affes further teaches running a multiuser detection scheme using said second set of sampled values (fig. 16: output of element 18: to receiver for other desired users: note that the signal processing for each user is repeated) and previously computed said delays (paragraphs 178-186) and said amplitude attenuations (paragraph 114) for estimating the value of the symbol (b_k) sent by each said user (fig. 16: elements 29: paragraph 21).

Art Unit: 2611

With regards to claims 14 and 47, Affes in view of Unser in further view of Agee teaches the limitations of claims 13 and 46.

Affes further teaches said multiuser detection scheme (fig. 16: output of element 18: to receiver for other desired users: note that the signal processing for each user is repeated) is a decorrelating detection scheme (paragraph 7).

With regards to claims 15 and 48, Affes in view of Unser in further view of Agee teaches the limitations of claims 13 and 48.

Affes further teaches said multiuser detection scheme (fig. 16: output of element 18: to receiver for other desired users: note that the signal processing for each user is repeated) is a minimum mean-square error detection scheme (paragraph 8).

With regards to claims 19 and 52, Affes in view of Unser teaches the limitations of claims 1 and 34.

Affes teaches said sent signal includes a plurality of training sequences (paragraphs 302-306) each encoded with a user specific coding sequence ($s_k(t)$) (paragraphs 302-306) and transmitted by said users (k) (paragraphs 302-306), said method further comprising the steps of:

computing a set sampled values from said received signal (fig. 16: output of element 18).

Art Unit: 2611

computing a set of channel dependant values (figs. 1-2, 5, and 9: signal $X(t)$: paragraphs 114 and 178-186) from the sampled signal (fig. 44: output of element 18) and said training sequences (fig. 44: paragraphs 302-306).

processing a second sent signal (figs. 1 and 16: element group 10, 14, and output to other receiver for other desired users) including a plurality of symbols (fig. 1 and 2: elements 13-16) each encoded with said user specific coding sequence ($s_k(t)$) and transmitted by said users (k), sampling said second sent signal ($y(t)$) with a sampling frequency lower than the sampling frequency given by the Shannon theorem (paragraph 119).

retrieving the value of the symbol (figs. 16 and 44: elements 28-30, 47, 43, 29-35) by each said user by solving a linear matrix system (figs. 16 and 44: elements 28-30, 47, 43, 29-35) including said second set of sampled values (figs. 16 and 44: output of element 18: "to receivers for other desired users": note that the recovery process is repeated for each desired user) and said set of channel dependant values (elements 28-30, 47, 43, 29-35)).

Affes does not explicitly teach two Limitations: Limitation 1) Affes does not explicitly teach the sampling rate is greater than the rate of innovation (ρ) of said received signal ($y(t)$); Limitation 2) determining the a set of spectral values ($Y[m]$) corresponding to said received signal ($y(t)$) from said set of sampled values ($y(nT_s)$), wherein the determined channel values were determined from spectral values of the signal and spectral values of the user specific coding sequence.

Limitation 1)

Art Unit: 2611

Affes does not explicitly teach the sampling rate is greater than the rate of innovation (ρ) of said received signal ($y(t)$).

Unser teaches the reconstruction of a consistent signal (which yields the same measurements as the original signal) as long as there are as many measurements (samples) as there are degrees of freedom in the signal (Section V, B). One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would recognize that since the rate of innovation is defined by the total degrees of freedom of the wanted signal it would be obvious to sample at a greater than or equal to the rate of innovation in order to reconstruct information of the original signal with minimum error. Therefore it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the spread spectrum communication sampling method of Affes with the sampling method disclosed by Unser in order to create an improved method and system for sampling and reconstructing the information from the original signal while minimizing errors in the reconstructed information.

Limitation 2)

Affes does not explicitly teach determining the a set of spectral values ($Y[m]$) corresponding to said received signal ($y(t)$) from said set of sampled values ($y(nT_s)$), wherein the determined channel values were determined from spectral values of the signal and spectral values of the user specific coding sequence.

Agee teaches the use of Fast Fourier transform (FFT) before the despreading unit (figs. 8-9: element 236, 242, 266, and 272) computing a set of spectral values ($Y[m]$) corresponding to said received signal ($y(t)$) from said set of sampled values (fig. 7B and

Art Unit: 2611

8-9: ADC output, and front end);

recovering spectral values ($S_k[m]$) corresponding to each of said user specific coding sequence (figs. 8-9: elements 242 and 272).

Therefore it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the CDMA-OFDM communication system of Affes with the CDMA-OFDM system of Agee in order to create an improved system and method with lower complexity by using simple equalization of linear channel distortion (Agee: paragraph 30).

With regards to claims 22 and 55, Affes in view of Unser teaches the limitations of claims 21 and 54.

Affes teaches said sent signal includes a plurality of training sequences (paragraphs 302-306) each encoded with a user specific coding sequence ($s_k(t)$) (paragraphs 302-306) and transmitted by said users (k) (paragraphs 302-306), said method further comprising the steps of:

sampling the received signal ($y(t)$) from each antenna (figs. 1 and 16: elements 11-12 and 18-19) with a sampling frequency (f_s) lower than the sampling frequency given by the Shannon theorem (paragraph 119) for generating a set of sampled values (fig. 9: output of element 18).

retrieving the delays (paragraphs 178-186) and the amplitude attenuations (paragraph 114) and direction of arrival (θ) induced by said communication channel on said sent signal (figs. 1-2, 5, and 9: signal $X(t)$), corresponding to said received signal

Art Unit: 2611

($y(t)$) and corresponding to each of said user specific coding sequence (fig. 5: elements 18, 19 and 20);

and the use of form of Multi-Carrier CDMA (paragraph 435: MC-CDMA).

Affes does not explicitly determining the a set of spectral values ($Y[m]$) corresponding to said received signal ($y(t)$) from said set of sampled values ($y(nT_s)$), recovering spectral values ($S_k[m]$) corresponding to each of said user specific coding sequence ($s_k(t)$), wherein the determined amplitude and delays were determined from spectral values of the signal and spectral values of the user specific coding sequence; and determining the direction of arrival of the received signal.

Agee teaches the use of Fast Fourier transform (FFT) before the dispreading unit (figs. 8-9: element 236, 242, 266, and 272) computing a set of spectral values ($Y[m]$) corresponding to said received signal ($y(t)$) from said set of sampled values (fig. 7B and 8-9: ADC output, and front end);

recovering spectral values ($S_k[m]$) corresponding to each of said user specific coding sequence (figs. 8-9: elements 242 and 272).

determining the direction of arrival of the received signal (paragraphs 127-130).

Therefore it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the CDMA-OFDM communication system of Affes with the CDMA-OFDM system of Agee in order to create an improved system and method with lower complexity by using simple equalization of linear channel distortion (Agee: paragraph 30).

Art Unit: 2611

With regards to claims 23 and 56, Affes in view of Unser in further view of Agee teaches the limitations of claims 22 and 55.

Affes teaches the step of retrieving said delays and said amplitude attenuations and directions of arrival includes solving a series of two-dimensional estimation problems (paragraphs 55 and 230: wherein receiver combines the signal from two antennas), the size of each said two-dimensional estimation problem being equal to the number of said sampled values generated during one symbol duration (fig. 16: element 29: paragraph 21).

With regards to claims 24 and 57, Affes in view of Unser in further view of Agee teaches the limitations of claims 23 and 56.

Affes teaches said sent signal includes a plurality of training sequences (paragraphs 302-306) each encoded with a user specific coding sequence ($sk(t)$) (paragraphs 302-306) and transmitted by said users (k) (paragraphs 302-306), said method further comprising the steps of:

sampling the received signal ($y(t)$) from each antenna (figs. 1 and 16: elements 11-12 and 18-19) with a sampling frequency (f_s) lower than the sampling frequency given by the Shannon theorem (paragraph 119) for generating a set of sampled values (fig. 9: output of element 18).

retrieving the delays (paragraphs 178-186) and the amplitude attenuations (paragraph 114) and direction of arrival induced by said communication channel on said sent signal (figs. 1-2, 5, and 9: signal $X(t)$), corresponding to said received signal ($y(t)$)

Art Unit: 2611

and corresponding to each of said user specific coding sequence (fig. 5: elements 18, 19 and 20);

and the use of form of Multi-Carrier CDMA (paragraph 435: MC-CDMA).

Affes does not explicitly determining the a set of spectral values ($Y[m]$) corresponding to said received signal ($y(t)$) from said set of sampled values ($y(nT_s)$), recovering spectral values ($S_k[m]$) corresponding to each of said user specific coding sequence ($s_k(t)$), wherein the determined amplitude and delays were determined from spectral values of the signal and spectral values of the user specific coding sequence; and determining the direction of arrival of the received signal.

Agee teaches the use of Fast Fourier transform (FFT) before the dispreading unit (figs. 8-9: element 236, 242, 266, and 272) computing a set of spectral values ($Y[m]$) corresponding to said received signal ($y(t)$) from said set of sampled values (fig. 7B and 8-9: ADC output, and front end);

recovering spectral values ($S_k[m]$) corresponding to each of said user specific coding sequence (figs. 8-9: elements 242 and 272).

determining the direction of arrival of the received signal (paragraphs 127-130).

Therefore it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the CDMA-OFDM communication system of Affes with the CDMA-OFDM system of Agee in order to create an improved system and method with lower complexity by using simple equalization of linear channel distortion (Agee: paragraph 30).

Art Unit: 2611

With regards to claims 25 and 58, Affes in view of Unser in further view of Agee teaches the limitations of claims 24 and 57.

processing a second sent signal (figs. 1 and 16: element group 10, 14, and output to other receiver for other desired users) including a plurality of symbols (fig. 1 and 2: elements 13-16) each encoded with said user specific coding sequence ($sk(t)$) and transmitted by said users (k), sampling said second sent signal and first sent signal with a sampling frequency lower than the sampling frequency given by the Shannon theorem (paragraph 119).

Affes does not explicitly teach orienting the beams of said array of antennas (i) towards previously determined said arrival directions.

Agee teaches orienting the beams of said array of antennas (i) towards previously determined said arrival directions (paragraphs 127-135).

Therefore it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the CDMA-OFDM communication system of Affes with the CDMA-OFDM system of Agee in order to create an improved system and method with lower complexity by using simple equalization of linear channel distortion (Agee: paragraph 30).

With regards to claim 30, Affes in view of Unser teaches the limitations of claim 29.

Affes teaches comprising a memory for storing said signature sequences (fig. 16: elements 18-19: wherein the de-spreaders would inherently store the signature sequences in memory).

Affes does not explicitly teach having said spectral values of said signature sequences.

Agee teaches the use of Fast Fourier transform (FFT) before the dispreading unit (figs. 8-9: element 236, 242, 266, and 272) computing a set of spectral values ($Y[m]$) corresponding to said received signal ($y(t)$) from said set of sampled values (fig. 7B and 8-9: ADC output, and front end);

Therefore it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the CDMA-OFDM communication system of Affes with the CDMA-OFDM system of Agee in order to create an improved system and method with lower complexity by using simple equalization of linear channel distortion (paragraph 30).

7. Claims 26 and 59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Affes (US 2002/0051433) in view of Unser ("Sampling – 50 Years After Shannon", Proceedings of the IEEE, Vol. 88, No.4: pages 569-587, April 2000.) with Agee (US 2003/0123384) as applied to claims 25 and 58 above, and further in view of Huang (USPN 6,370,129).

With regards to claims 26 and 59, Affes in view of Unser in further view of Agee teaches the limitations of claims 25 and 58.

Affes further teaches running a detection scheme using said second set of sampled values (fig. 16: output of element 18: to receiver for other desired users: note that the signal processing for each user is repeated) and previously computed said

Art Unit: 2611

delays (paragraphs 178-186) and said amplitude attenuations (paragraph 114) for estimating the value of the symbol (b_k) sent by each said user (fig. 16: elements 29: paragraph 21).

Affes does not explicitly teach running a 2D-RAKE.

Huang teaches using a 2D-Rake (col. 6, lines 3-25).

Therefore it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the CDMA-OFDM multi-user communication system of Affes with the CDMA multi-user communication system of Huang in order to implement an improved multi-user detection scheme which mitigates multi-user interference in a high speed mixed traffic environment (col. 2, line 1-5 and col. 5, line 62 through col. 6, line 25).

8. Claims 27 and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Affes (US 2002/0051433) in view of Unser ("Sampling – 50 Years After Shannon", Proceedings of the IEEE, Vol. 88, No.4: pages 569-587, April 2000.) as applied to claims 1 and 34 above, and further in view of Shattil (USPN 7,076,168).

With regards to claims 27 and 60, Affes in view of Unser teachings the limitations of claims 1 and 34.

Affes teaches a method for processing a signal ($y(t)$) sent over a wireless communication channel (paragraphs 14-23), comprising the step of sampling the received signal ($y(t)$) with a sampling frequency (f_s) lower than the sampling frequency

Art Unit: 2611

given by the Shannon theorem (paragraph 119) for generating a set of sampled values (fig. 9: output of element 18).

Affes does not explicitly teach two Limitations: Limitation 1) the sampling rate is greater than the rate of innovation (ρ) of said received signal ($y(t)$); and Limitation 2) said wireless communication channel is an Ultra Wideband (UWB) communication system.

Limitation 1)

Unser teaches the reconstruction of a consistent signal (which yields the same measurements as the original signal) as long as there are as many measurements (samples) as there are degrees of freedom in the signal (Section V, B). One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would recognize that since the rate of innovation is defined by the total degrees of freedom of the wanted signal it would be obvious to sample at a greater than or equal to the rate of innovation in order to reconstruct information of the original signal with minimum error. Therefore it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the spread spectrum communication sampling method of Affes with the sampling method disclosed by Unser in order to create an improved method and system for sampling and reconstructing the information from the original signal while minimizing errors in the reconstructed information.

Limitation 2)

Shattil teaches said bandwidth-expanding communication channel is an Ultra Wideband (UWB) communication system (col. 17, lines 1-10 and col. 19, lines 15-32).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the CDMA method of Affes with the multi-carrier CDMA method of Shattil in order to create an improved CDMA transmission method capable of high speed transmission and increased throughput with lower complexity that does not use high-speed processing (Shattil: col. 16, line 65 through col. 17, line 9).

9. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Affes (US 2002/0051433) in view of Unser ("Sampling – 50 Years After Shannon", Proceedings of the IEEE, Vol. 88, No.4: pages 569-587, April 2000.), and further in view of Langberg (USPN 5,852,630).

With regards to claim 28, Affes teaches a receiver and method for processing a signal sent over a wireless communication channel (paragraphs 14-23), comprising the step of sampling the received signal with a sampling frequency lower than the sampling frequency given by the Shannon theorem (paragraph 119) for generating a set of sampled values (fig. 9: output of element 18).

Affes does not explicitly teach two Limitations: Limitation 1) the sampling rate is greater than the rate of innovation of said received signal; and Limitation 2) a computer-readable medium on which is recorded a control program for a data processor, the computer-readable medium, comprising instructions for causing the data processor to perform the process as disclosed above.

Limitation 1)

Art Unit: 2611

Unser teaches the reconstruction of a consistent signal (which yields the same measurements as the original signal) as long as there are as many measurements (samples) as there are degrees of freedom in the signal (Section V, B).

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would recognize that since the rate of innovation is defined by the total degrees of freedom of the wanted signal it would be obvious to sample at a greater than or equal to the rate of innovation in order to reconstruct information of the original signal with minimum error.

Therefore it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the spread spectrum communication sampling method of Affes with the sampling method disclosed by Unser in order to create an improved method and system for sampling and reconstructing the information from the original signal while minimizing errors in the reconstructed information.

Furthermore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would clearly recognize that Unser defines a minimum sampling requirement (Section V, B), and that sampling above the minimum requirements has the benefits of increasing the accuracy of a sampled signal, thus less bit errors in the recovered signal. Therefore in view of KSR, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the known spread spectrum communication sampling method of Affes with the known teachings of Unser in order to yield predictable results and benefits such as minimizing the sampling power and complexity while maintaining sampling accuracy.

Limitation 2)

Affes in view of Unser teaches all of the subject matter as described above except for the method written by a software program loadable into the internal memory of the digital processing system, said program embodied in a computer-readable medium.

Langberg et al. teaches that the method and apparatus for a transceiver warm start activation procedure with pre-coding can be implemented in software stored in a computer-readable medium. The computer-readable medium is an electronic, magnetic, optical, or other physical device or means that can contain or store a computer program for use by or in connection with a computer-related system or method (col. 3, lines 51-65). One skilled in the art would have clearly recognized that the method of Affes in view of Unser would have been implemented in software. The implemented software would perform the same function of the hardware for less expense, and have increased adaptability, and flexibility. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the software as taught by Langberg et al. in the method of Affes in view of Unser in order to reduce cost and improve the adaptability and flexibility of the communication system.

10. Claims 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Affes (US 2002/0051433) in view of Unser ("Sampling – 50 Years After Shannon", Proceedings of the IEEE, Vol. 88, No.4: pages 569-587, April 2000.) as applied to claim 29 above, and further in view of Baum (USPN 7,218,666).

Art Unit: 2611

With regards to claim 31, Affes in view of Unser teaches the limitations of claim 29.

Affes teaches a set of at least two encoders (figs. 16 and 44: elements 18-19, 27-30, and 35), each encoder of said set of encoders being assigned at least one training sequence (fig. 44: elements 18, 28-32, and 35) to be sent over a bandwidth-expanding channel during a training phase (paragraphs 302-306),

Affes does not explicitly teach the at least one training sequence (paragraphs 302-306) is chosen such that it is linearly independent from any other training sequence (paragraphs 302-306) assigned to any other encoder (paragraphs 302-306) of said set of encoders.

Baum teaches the at least one training sequence (col. 12, line 40 through col. 13, line 7) is chosen such that it is linearly independent from any other training sequence (col. 12, line 40 through col. 13, line 7) assigned to any other encoder (col. 12, line 40 through col. 13, line 7) of said set of encoders.

Therefore it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the CDMA-OFDM communication system of Affes with the pilot chip symbols of Baum in order to create an improved system capable of more actually measuring the frequency response of the channel with less interference from other user signals.

With regards to claim 32, Affes in view of Unser in further view of Baum teaches the limitations of claim 31.

Affes teaches a set of at least two encoders, each said encoder (50) being

Art Unit: 2611

assigned at least one said training sequences (bkt), wherein each said encoder (50) is designed to select from said at least one training sequences (bkt) the training sequence (bkt) to be sent during said training phase (30).

Affes does not explicitly teach assigned at least two said training sequences (bkt), and said encoder is designed to select from said at least two training sequences.

Baum teaches assigned at least two said training sequences, and said encoder is designed to select from said at least two training sequences (col. 12, line 40 through col. 13, line 7).

Therefore it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the CDMA-OFDM communication system of Affes with the pilot chip symbols of Baum in order to create an improved system capable of more actually measuring the frequency response of the channel with less interference from other user signals.

With regards to claim 33, Affes in view of Unser in further view of Baum teaches the limitations of claim 31.

Affes teaches each of two said encoder being assigned a specific coding sequence (fig. 2: elements b.sub.n and 13: paragraphs 302-306) for coding a signal to be sent over said bandwidth-expanding channel (fig. 2: elements b.sub.n and 13: paragraphs 302-306), wherein said coding sequence (sk(t)) is chosen such that, when filtered, for estimating the value of the symbol (bk) sent by each said user (fig. 16: elements 19, 42, 29-30).

Affes does not explicitly teach said filter being a lowpass filter such that the signal is filtered with a lowpass filter (f), it is orthogonal to any specific coding sequence ($sk(t)$) assigned to any other encoder (50) of said set of encoders filtered with said lowpass filter (f).

Unser teaches that when lowpass filtered, the signal is orthogonal to any other user's specific coding sequence ($sk(t)$) used in said communication channel (Section II and fig. 2);

said match filter uses a lowpass filter (Section II and fig. 2); and

the reconstruction of a consistent signal (which yields the same measurements as the original signal) as long as there are as many measurements (samples) as there are degrees of freedom in the signal (Section V, B). One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would recognize that since the rate of innovation is defined by the total degrees of freedom of the wanted signal it would be obvious to sample at a greater than or equal to the rate of innovation in order to reconstruct information of the original signal with minimum error. Therefore it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the spread spectrum communication sampling method of Affes with the sampling method disclosed by Unser in order to create an improved method and system for sampling and reconstructing the information from the original signal while minimizing errors in the reconstructed information.

Conclusion

11. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2611

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAMES M. PEREZ whose telephone number is (571)270-3231. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday: 9am to 5pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shuwang Liu can be reached on 571-272-3036. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/James M Perez/

Examiner, Art Unit 2611

9/15/2008

/Shuwang Liu/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2611